Utilization of Geospatial Analysis Tools to Identify and Evaluate Septic System Pollution Risk to Aquatic Resources

Southeast Stormwater Association Conference

October 15th, 2015

Jeb Holder

Environmental Technician

Chris Reagan, GISP GIS Analyst

Project Goal

Utilize GIS to create a method to analyze and score individual septic systems based on their risk of pollution to aquatic resources.

Project Methodology

- Step 1: Define project Area of Interest (AOI)
- Step 2: Map the septic systems
- Step 3: Add localized GIS attribution to each septic system
- Step 4: Create the rating system
- Step 5: Analyze results



- 11 GAEPD 303(d) polluted stream segments in Henry County
- 6 of the polluted stream segments are impaired due to Fecal Coliform contamination
- The 6 impaired segments are located in 4 separate streams, with the South River and Big Cotton Indian Creek containing two impaired segments apiece (along with Panther and Walnut Creeks)
- Of the 4 impaired streams, three are listed as impaired prior to flowing into the County, unlike Walnut Creek, in which nearly its entire watershed is contained within Henry County
- The Walnut Creek watershed contains a high density of septic systems in which we have available data/information

AOI: Walnut Creek Basin



Map the Septic Systems

What we started with

The first hurdle to overcome was to determine where the septic systems were.

- No digital septic records, only paper copies.
- There is a state-wide septic mapping effort titled "WelSTROM," but it is mostly incomplete for Henry County.

	Georgia Department of Human Resources				
ON-SITE SEWAGE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM INSPECTION REPORT					
Care Number (FHA, VA, etc.) Health Dist. Day Month Year					
County Code Construction Permit				04 07 04	86
075 0549			19 20	21 22 23 24 25 26	27 28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	9 10	11 12 13 14 13 10 11 10		County	
Property Location	, H	Property Own	or.	11	
545 5	DOUTHM	Bur Cityone	al Contracto	per la pe	nry 1
LAR	South	Sewage Dipo			<u> </u>
Lot 31 D	Journ		MN39	*ITEMS: 1 = Yes; 2 = No	
ALL ITEMS: Bla	nk = Not Appl	cable; 0 = Unknown			
SECTION A - GENERAL		SECTION D - PRIMARY TREATMENT		b. Total Linear Feet	300
to Maker Supply!		(1) Septle Tank, (2) Construction		c. · Longth each Trench	0010
(1) Public, (2) Community, (3) Indiv.		Unit. (5) Other		(feet)	www.ast.7
2. Financial Assistance:	29	2. Septic Tank Capacity	1000	(inches)	
Home, (4) Conventional, (5) Other	4	(gallons):	45 46 47 48	ar to of the above	TO 3
3. House Structure:		3. Unit 1 Tank/Compartment	1000	e. Number of Trenches	
(3) Existing > 1 year		4. Septic Tank Inside Length	49 50 51 52	Trenches	110
 Sewage Disposal Installation: New. (2) Repair to existing sys. 		(feet):	<u> 1812</u>	g. Average Trench Depth	30
5. If Repair of Existing System -	32	5. Septic Tank Inside width	40	(Inches)	
Years System Installed: (1) \leq 1 year, (2) 1 - 2, (3) 2 - 3,	6.000 (0000 0 .000	6. Septic Tank Liquid Depth		h. * Aggregate Proper Size	
(4) 3 - 5, (5) 5 - 10, (6) > 10	33	(feet):		i * Assregate Proper Depth	
 Percolation Rate Min./In.; 		(1) Precast concrete, (2) Poured .		j. Distance from Building	3017S
7. *Is Property Part of a	34 35	in place, (3) Others	53	Foundation	
Subdivision:	36	8. Dosing Tank Capacity		k. Nearest Property Line:	
SECTION B - FACILITY.		9. Grease Trap Capacity	54 55 56	(1) From, (2) Reals	<u>3+</u> ,
1.** Type Facility: See Code Below	37.38	(gallons):	57 56 39	1. Distance Nearest Property	01/15
 Water Usage Determined by: No. Bedrooms, (2) No. Gallons 		10. Distance Septic Tank from		Line Distance Prive or Absorption	
(1) No. Dearbonner (E7.2		SECTION E - SECONDARY TREATMENT	1	Field from Well	
3. Number Bedrooms or Gallons:	40 41 42 43	1. Field Layout Method:	1	SECTION F - HEALTH AGENCY TIME	
SECTION C - DOT DIAL	-TTT	Field, (3) Serial, (4) Mound,	<u>in 12</u> 2	1. Total Inclusive Time (min.):	66
1. Lot Depth (Average):		(5) Other	60	SECTION G - SYSTEM APPROVED	.71 72 73
2. Lot Width (Average):		2. Absorption Field:		1. • Yes	
		a. Total Square Feet	900	2. No	74
3. Building Line (Feel):	etch		61 62 63 64		
H E					
1 ²					
1.**Type Facility	<u></u>		╅┼╧╅┟╡╡╪╡	Q	
(1) Residence					
(2) Apartment (3) Institution					
(4) Service Station					╤╤╤╤╤╤╤╤╤╤
(5) Restaurant					
(6) Church (7) Tourist Accommodation	╞╪╪╡┋╤┋┊╡				
(8) Launderette		2 5			
(9) Mobile Home Park			1443		
(10)Other					
(Specity)					
		4			
	<u><u></u> </u>				
· . E					
		2			╡╢┼╎┼╡┟╧╢┇╛
b Remediat		C.H_	- 1-		
Kemerks:		Jouinmoo		Health Agency 4 1/ G	51
Inspected By:	A	Title Sanifarian		Henry Co. H. K	7.
Stephane	_ucey			F	
N	0				

1 d . .

Map the Septic Systems Identify Property Parcels on Septic

Work with the GIS layers that we already have:

- Property Parcels
- Sewer Parcels
- Buildings





Map the Septic Systems

Identify Individual Buildings on Septic

At this point we know which parcels have a septic system, but we want to narrow down the specific area(s) in which these systems are located.

- Option 1: Use the centroid of the parcel too general
- Option 2: Use the building location as a starting point.











We quickly discovered a problem - we can't simply assume every building within the septic parcels has a septic system. There are many accessory buildings such as sheds, garages, etc.

In general, there is most likely only one septic system per parcel; that system will most likely be associated with the largest building.

Using the Python programming language, we developed a script that looked at the buildings located in each septic parcel. If there was more than one building, it would review the size of the buildings and assign the largest building in that parcel as having the septic system.



Map the Septic Systems Identify Potential Septic Drain Field Areas Part 1

Now we have a good starting point for each septic system area, which is the centroid of a building polygon that we've identified as having a septic system.

The next step was to create a polygon representing the area in which the septic drain field is most likely located for each individual parcel. This polygon will be used later in the project to extract localized statistics for each septic system from a couple of different raster data sources.



Using our Land Cover Layer, we discounted any part of the polygon that was 'Urban' or 'Water'



(B) Remove Buffer not in subject parcel

Map the Septic Systems Identify Potential Septic Drain Field Areas Part 2

We could stop right there in regards to identifying the septic drain field area, but we decided to go a step further and isolate the area even more based on elevation in relation to the elevation of the house.

In general, unless a pump is involved, the septic drain field is at around the same elevation as the base of the house or less so it can be gravity feed. That being the case, we wanted to take the base elevation of the house and split our septic drain field area polygons up based on either being above or below the base elevation of the house.



(1) From LiDAR DEM, add Z of each septic building centroid to it's septic drain field area polygon

(2) We developed a custom Python tool that looks at each septic drain field area polygon and assigns all the area within that polygon at the house base elevation and lower as the primary septic area. Any area higher than house base elevation is assigned as a secondary septic area. The minimum area requirement for primary or secondary septic

requirement for primary or secondary septic areas are 2500 square feet. The tool used a combination of ESRI and GDAL geoprocessing tools resulting in about a 100 lines of code.

The elevation source used was a 5ft resolution Digital Elevation Model (DEM) derived from LiDAR collected in 2007.



Map the Septic Systems Primary Septic Areas - 3D View



Map the Septic Systems Primary Septic Areas - 3D View



Map the Septic Systems Primary Septic Areas - 3D View



Add localized GIS attribution Adding Septic Age and Water Usage

Now that our septic systems were mapped, we could start adding localized attributes to each individual septic system.

From our county parcel data, we have the year the building was built, which should also be the year the septic system was installed in most cases.

Using water usage data from 2014, we calculated an average monthly usage and added that to each septic system. We only had water usage data for about 75% of our 4458 septic systems.

Average Septic Age = 27 years old

Average Water Usage = 5200 gal/month

Table 1: US EPA Typical Hydraulic Use vs. Georgia Design Flows

User Unit	EPA Typical Water Use gpd	GA Design Flow gpd
Residential – per Bedroom	120*	150
Restaurant – per Seat	10	50
Office/factory – per Person	13	25
Hotel (resort) – per Guest	50	75
Theater – per Seat	3	5
Hospital – per Bed	165	300

* Two persons per bedroom at a median flow of 60 gallons/day/person

Septic System Design Flows

Based on this table, septic systems in Georgia should be designed to handle around 13,500 gallons a month for a typical 3 bedroom home.

Source: Georgia Department of Public Health

Add localized GIS attribution Add Distance to Surface Water

The proximity a septic system is located to surface water plays a big factor in it's risk level to water resources.



Using the ArcGIS 'NEAR' tool, we calculated the distance from the primary septic area centroid to the nearest surface water feature (stream,pond,lake) for each septic system.

Add localized GIS attribution Add Slope

The mean slope of each primary septic area is calculated and added to the attributes for every septic system.



Step 1: Create the Slope Raster layer from our DEM in QGIS.

Step 2: Using the zonal statistics plugin in QGIS, we calculated the mean slope for each primary septic polygon.

Add localized GIS attribution

Add Soil Wetness Index

An important aspect of septic system functionality is the type of soil they are installed in. The NRCS soil survey data, in our opinion, is not accurate enough to use at the scale we are working in. For that reason, we decided to derive our own soil wetness index raster. Our soil wetness index does appear to give good general insight on the hydrologic conditions of the soil primarily based on landscapes alone, but it does not account for soil permeability.





Step 1: Burn Streams into the DEM Step 2: Fill Sinks (depressions)

Step 3: Run SAGA Wetness Index

Step 4: The Wetness Index is raster data so just as we did previously for slope, we brought the Wetness Index Raster and Primary Septic polygons into QGIS. In QGIS, we ran zonal statistics which added the mean Wetness Index value for each primary septic area.

Add localized GIS attribution NRCS Soil Survey Data VS Soil Wetness Index



Create the Rating System

SEPTIC PROJECT - WATER QUALITY RISK RATINGS 8/18/2015			
Туре	Range	Rating Value	
Wetness	0	0	
	>0 and < 2.5	1	
	>=2.5 and < 5	2	
	>=5 and < 6	3	
	>=6 and < 10	5	
Slope	0	2	
	>0 and <4	2, 4*	
	>=4 and <12	2, 3*	
	>=12 and <25	2, 5*	
	>=25 and <120	4, 6*	
		*if within 100ft of water	
Septic Age	Unknown	2	
	1800 < 2000	3	
	>=2000	2	
Distance(ft) to Water	>=0 and <50	5	
	>=50 and <100	4	
	>=100 and <150	3	
	>=150 and <250	2	
	>250	1	
Avg Monthly Water Usage (gallons)	Unknown	2	
	0	1	
	>0 and < 4000	2	
	>=4000 and < 8000	3	
	>=8000 and <14000	4	
	>=14000	5	

Rating Possible Range: 6 - 24

Bldg_Year	TWI	Slope	Near	Rating	AVG_WATER
1998	4.039483	4.234708	374.8586	10	-9999
1987	2.310912	18.52184	90.55213	16	5000
2003	4.421326	9.619222	547.3007	11	8500
1993	3.15114	8.875294	260.2237	11	6916.666504
1935	4.313309	6.566809	1247.481	10	-9999
1987	4.732197	4.999356	1013.56	10	2750
1988	3.631996	8.015595	707.941	10	2083.333252
1988	3.668529	11.06487	85.8074	14	2750
1992	4.387762	5.276773	1666.912	11	6083.333496
1988	5.600504	4.748052	379.575	12	4166.666504
1985	4.145959	4.414519	864.9125	10	-9999
1943	3.216543	7.48634	349.522	10	83.333336
1993	3.887444	4.429316	417.875	11	4666.666504
1988	4.610886	3.858141	723.718	12	9000
1989	2.691376	13.16252	123.7526	12	3833.333252
1992	5.400431	3.500363	941.84	11	2916.666748
1988	3.286445	15.82384	322.7869	10	-9999

Attribute table showing sample rating data

Rating	Group	Description
6-11	1	Low Risk
12-13	2	Medium Risk
14-16	3	High Risk
17-24	4	Extremely High Risk

Group Ratings

Analyze the Results

Visual Inspection



Analyze the Results

Visual Inspection



Analyze the Results E. coli Contamination Analysis



Potential Use / Future Development

Potential Uses

- Identifying potential septic pollution sources
- Avocation of State, Federal, and other agency grant monies and assistance programs
- Prioritizing water quality monitoring and improvement initiatives

Future Development

- Perform same septic analysis for the remainder of the County
- Share septic information with non-GIS users by web maps for ease of access
- Identify areas of concern ahead of future development

A Special Thanks for Their Involvement and Support

Kim Tucker - HCSMD Tiffany Hunter - HCSMD Wade Stroud - HCSMD Henry County Water Authority Henry County Department of Environmental Health



Jeb Holder - jholder@co.henry.ga.us

Chris Reagan - <u>chrisreagan@co.henry.ga.us</u>